Appln. No. 09/681,792

Docket No. GEN-0262 / 75IN-125

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Natarajan Mani : Confirmation No.: 1726

Applicant: Natarajan Mani : Group Art Unit: 2836

Filed: June 6, 2001 : Examiner: Benenson, Boris

Filed: June 6, 2001 : Docket No.: GEN-0262 / 75IN-125 :

For: ELECTRONIC ACTUATION FOR MECHANICALLY HELD CONTACTORS

September 2, 2004

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Sir:

Provided herewith are comments on the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance presented in the Notice of Allowance dated June 28, 2004.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

addressed to: Mail Stop Issue	Fee , Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box	Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelop 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or facsimil Jnited States Patent and Trademark Office on th
date shown below.		
Patricia DiGregorio	Saturia Dilloggeras	September 22, 2004
Name	Signature	Date

The Examiner remarks that "Independent Claims 5 and 27 are allowable because none of the prior art of record disclose an electronic circuit for mechanically held contactor or a method for utilizing an electronic circuit in a mechanically held contactor wherein...". Paper 06142004, page 2.

While Applicant agrees that presented claims pertain to allowable subject matter, Applicant respectfully submits that to the extent that the Examiner's remarks suggest or state that certain limitations or combinations not found in the prior art are present in each and every allowable claim, whether or not specific language to that effect is found in every allowable claim, the record as a whole must be considered in addition to the Examiner's remarks, and, to the extent that the record as a whole is clear and complete, it shall control the interpretation of any and all allowable claims.

More specifically, the Examiner's statement of reasons implies that features found in the specification only, in the dependent claims only, or in some but not all independent claims only, are part of all of the allowable claims, when in fact the record clearly reflects that there are claims not so limited. For example, allowed independent Claim 27 does not recite a method of utilizing an electronic circuit in a mechanically held contactor, but rather recites a method of utilizing an electronic circuit in a mechanical contactor.

Accordingly, this claim, and claims dependent from this claim that do not recite the same, are not so limited.

Respectfully submitted,

CANTOR COLBURN LLP
Applicant's Attorneys

(\(\)

David Arnold

Registration No: 48,894 Customer No. 23413

Address:

55 Griffin Road South, Bloomfield, Connecticut 06002

Telephone:

(860) 286-2929

Fax:

(860) 286-0115